



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/077,074 | 02/15/2002 | Lelon Wayne Sanderson | PC01001 | 3505 |
| 7590 10/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Curtis W. Dodd | | | DOAN, KIET M | |
| 2803 Bentley Street Huntsville, AL 35801 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 2617 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 10/077,074 | SANDERSON, LELON WAYNE | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Kiet Doan | 2617 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on | Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _ | · | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | on consists only of: (1) a timely filed a ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | mendment which places the | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not const final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se | | empt at a proper reply, to the non- | | |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | quired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record, the ass | signee of the entire interest, or all of . | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed class | | se the period for seeking court review | | |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | |
| No response more than one year. | SUPERVIS | GEORGE ENG GEORY PATENT EXAMINER | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Notice | e of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 20060930 | | |